Strategies of Hyper-Elites as Admired and Deprecated

Introduction

There is an ever increasing number of those termed "ultra-high net worth individuals" (UHNWIs), with assets over $30 million. Their numbers rose to 513,244 in 2019 (Rupert Neate, The super-rich: another 31,000 people join the ultra-wealthy elite, The Guardian, 4 March 2020; Flora Harley, UHNWI growth forecasts: where is affluence emerging? Knight Frank Intelligence Lab, 1 Mar 2021).

Their wealth and opportunities are not however comparable to what might be termed the hyper-wealthy -- those multi-billionaires of immeasurable wealth (Meagan Day, The Return of the Super-Elite, Jacobin, 18 July 2018; The Rise of the New Global Elite, The Atlantic, January/February 2011). As a class, the inequalities characterized by the wealthy are frequently cited as a primary indicator of the current problematic condition of global civilization (Just 8 Men own same Wealth as Half the World, Oxfam International, 16 January 2017). The complicity of many in sustaining their wealth is however seldom addressed.

Individuals of great wealth and power are increasingly a focus of concern, most notably in the form of conspiracy theories (David Rothkopf, Superclass: the global power elite and the world they are making, 2008; Peter S. Goodman, Davos Man: how the billionaires devoured the world, 2022; Chrystia Freeland, Plutocrats: the rise of the new global super-rich and the fall of everyone else, 2012). They may well be seen as the epitome of evil -- possibly assumed to have occult and satanic associations. Their elimination is seen by many to be a precondition of a just world order. Their possessions are however also a focus of admiration and envy -- evoking aspiration to emulate them in some measure. National lotteries cater to this possibility.

What follows is a form of speculative thought experiment to clarify what it is that sustains the lifestyles of the super-rich and the super-powerful -- as this might be understood from their own perspective. This contrasts with other more conventional critical perspectives (Luca Storti, et al, The Super-rich: origin, reproduction, and social acceptance, Sociologica, 15, 2021, 2; S. Khan, The Many Futures of Elites Research, Sociologica, 10, 2016, 2). With some of them characterized as "Masters of the Universe" (or "Mistresses of the Universe"), what can be understood as the focus of their attention in their daily lives?

The concern here is not with the many billionaires, namely those "on the way up", or the "nouveaux riches". The interest here is with those whose wealth is self-sustaining and beyond question, namely those who feel no need to prove themselves, or to justify themselves to the wider world -- or possibly even to their peers. They have no need for any wider network of contacts, except with those who contribute to the sustainability of their condition -- and typically indirectly.
There is clearly a need for some clarification in the use made of superlative prefixes such as "super-", "ultra-", or "hyper-", whether applied to wealth, riches, power, influence, elites, or class. For the purpose of this argument, use is first made of "super-" with reference to issues shared with those evoking the distinctive focus here. Reference is made to "hyper-" in recognition of the complex connotations which call into question the very meaning of "super-" in relation to "wealth", especially for those to whom it then refers.

Historically it is of course the case that similar questions might have been asked of the higher realms of the aristocracy, as identified by the Almanach de Gotha for example. The super-rich are effectively the new aristocracy, whether or not their wealth is inherited within a dynasty as "old money". They are identified in various lists which do not however endeavour to indicate their relationships, as in the Almanach (World Ultra Wealth Report, Wealth-X, 2021; Forbes World’s Billionaires List, 2021; Global Wealth Report, Credit Suisse Research Institute, 2021). Allegedly totalling some 6,000, a selection of 150 from The Superclass List, clustered by country, is presented by Wikipedia (with links to their profiles) in the light of the study by David Rothkopf.

Rather than a conventional focus on monetary wealth and possessions, the argument here endeavours to call into question that understanding by extending its meaning to those who perceive themselves to be extremely wealthy in any manner -- potentially including a radical recognition of "inner wealth", as cultivated by some spiritual traditions. The question is then the nature of the distinctive worldview of the "hyper-wealthy". How do such people think and behave after what could be understood as a successful quest for the Holy Grail -- potentially to the point of having achieved a form of immortality? This offers a sobering reminder that most who deem themselves to be relatively impoverished are held to be "rich" by many others -- even "super-rich".

Use is made in what follows of the hypothetical existence of extraterrestrials (and future contact with them) as potentially exemplifying engagement with the hyper-wealthy -- perceived otherwise. This is reminiscent of the encounter of many indigenous peoples with early colonists seemingly endowed with relative sophistication. Such encounters are now rendered in media dramatisations of "first contact", with some then recognizing the relatively impoverished condition of humanity and its bizarre understandings of possession -- potentially of little significance from the "universal" perspective of ETs. Hyper-wealth can then be addressed in the light of emerging interest in hyperobjects and hyposubjects, as articulated from the perspective of object-oriented ontology (OOO) by Timothy Morton (Hyposubjects: On Becoming Human, 2021).

Any perception of relative wealth may then usefully merit consideration from the documented experience of a reality distortion field -- potentially fundamental to experience of the hyper-wealthy, and to experience of them. Does everyone have the potential capacity to engender a reality distortion field -- and the right to do so?

**Tangibles of wealth and status as a focus of envy**

It is useful to provide a context for this argument -- in the form of a checklist of the tangible possessions and status symbols of the super-rich to which ordinary people typically aspire and may consider that they lack in some measure:

- **tangibles**
  - multiple houses/domains -- architecture
  - fashionable clothing, jewellery
  - vehicles: first class / private jets / cars / super yachts
  - wines/foods
  - conversation pieces
  - art
  - recreational drugs
  - servants, bodyguards
  - horses/dogs, etc
- **exclusive activities and practices**
  - scenery appreciation, notably with exclusive access to wilderness areas
  - hunting/fishing, notably through trophy hunting
  - exclusive parties, contacts
  - bloodsports
  - significant conversations
  - bending the rules and above the law
- **exclusive intangibles**
  - elegance
  - authenticity
  - ambience / quiet
  - recognition -- being a somebody rather than a nobody
  - absence of ordinary worries
  - qualitative distinction from others; cultivated inequality

**Lifestyle constraints of super-elites**

Super-elites may indeed be confronted with constraints of a kind, despite a perception of them as free of the constraints with which many are only too familiar. A checklist is again a useful reminder:

- **relations**
  - trustworthiness of relatives, friends and peers
friendships / relationships -- contractual marriages
keeping up with peers -- peer pressure
servants -- explosive torcs -- Medici **

security issues
required stealth / avoidance of publicity
secure communications
defensive posture, with respect to wealth and power ("strategic fortress")
tax optimization
financial security
need for discretion and low profile, avoiding media attention

services
education / schools / universities
health
maintenance of houses and vehicles
transportation
food supply
meaningful employment
challenged dependents
jobs / disabilities
meaningful recreation / entertainment
obligations to family and peers
care of the disadvantaged
avoiding publicity with regard to activities deemed perversion
neediness, or responding to it

Of some interest is the extent to which super-elites also experience themselves to be "boxed in" by such contraints, in contrast with public perceptions that their lifestyles are not subject to "normal" constraints. The distinction is explored separately in the light of the contrasting insights of the frog-in-the-well and turtle of Chinese fable, and the possibility of encountering "black swans" (Constrained, Unconstrained and Surprised in a Global Context, 2022).

Can super-elites be usefully recognized as vulnerable to lifestyle diseases, as separately explored (Cognitive Implications of Lifestyle Diseases of Rich and Poor, 2010).

Essential personal preoccupations of super-elites

The tangibles and constraints above can be explored as a particular interpretation of Abraham Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs (1943):

- maintenance at any cost of:
  - power and influence, and possibly through its progressive increase
  - wealth and possession, and possibly through its progressive increase
  - health, possibly by its progressive extension in the face of aging and the prospect of mortality
  - status, recognition. respect, possibly by its progressive increase -- if only amongst peers
- consolidation of a (dynastic) legacy, potentially despite problematic family members and successors
- cultivation of a sense of self-esteem

It is misleading to avoid recognition of the problematic preoccupations of super-elites (Rachel Sherman, Uneasy Street. the anxieties of affluence, 2019). Complementing the framing of needs by Maslow, there is therefore a case for recognizing how some form of inversion of that hierarchy might apply. This could be especially appropriate in the case of the super-malignant, as argued separately (Reframing the hierarchy of needs (in principle) to encompass the need for evil (in practice), 2022; Requisite representation of complementary hierarchies?, 2022). Speculatively, for mnemonic purposes, these may be understood as interwoven as a strange attractor (El-Attractor - - Timeless Complex Dynamic: Health, Wealth, Stealth / Youth, Couth, Truth, 2007).

Given the aspirations associated with many needs, these may be usefully explored as "dreams", especially as they take collective form (Dreamables, Deniables, Deliverables and Duende, 2015). This explores the global dynamics "at the table" -- inspired by dining and wining in practice. The Sustainable Development Goals of the United Nations invites similar exploration (Systemic Coherence of the UN's 17 SDGs as a Global Dream, 2021).

Qualities of super-elites as human beings

Aside from the "essentials" by which they are distinguished, and by which they distinguish themselves, clearly there is a question as to how super-elites are to be distinguished from ordinary human beings. Is there any sense in which super-elites are "super-human beings", as they might claim, or as others might claim of them? This is evident with respect to those deemed holy or wise, as well as in the popular appreciation of many celebrities.

A critical focus might be on the degree to which they embody a higher order of:

- values and morality -- or a total lack thereof, as characterized by the perception of their greed and selfishness
- knowledge (and/or ignorance), especially in the case of dynastic progeny and a consequence of inbreeding (as with the aristocracy)
• intelligence: (and/or stupidity), especially when challenged by dementia or an entourage of sycophants (and "yes men")
• emotional intelligence (and/or insensitivity), especially when challenged by Asperger's Syndrome
• physique: relative physical health and attributes, especially when challenged by age and disability
• spirituality (and/or materiality), especially when recognized as challenged or constrained by a particular ideology
• humour, possibly in the same form of "super-humour" -- in contrast with a lack of any sense of humour or of any capacity to laugh at themselves
• aesthetics (and/or inelegance) in contrast with a total lack of taste

How would a member of the super-elites function when lacking any distinctive "human" qualities, as potentially epitomized by the tale by Robert Musil (A Man Without Qualities, 1930-1943). The degree to which "super" qualities are successfully inherited is clearly a matter of concern within any dynasty -- a matter only too evident in the case of inbred aristocracies.

How do the qualities above manifest in the conversational skills of the super-elite -- given the problematic archetype of the malignant "silver-tongued"? Are the super-elites especially endowed in discourse with the negative capability for which the poet John Keats yearned? Do the qualities indicated enable the super-elites to formulate "super-questions" (Superquestions for Supercomputers, 2010). Are the super-elites especially wise -- and recognized as a source of wisdom -- if only among themselves? Like many, are they especially vulnerable to question avoidance -- or more so? (Question Avoidance, Evasion, Aversion and Phobia, 2006)

Relationships of the super-elites and among them

Nature: Questions arise with regard to the following:
• relationships with individual peers, whether friendship or enmity, potentially determined by tradition, obligations, or opportunism
• relationships in gatherings of peers, whether recognized as part of the community or marginalized, notably with respect to secret(ive) societies and to secret(ive) shared agendas, speculatively dramatised by the movie Eyes Wide Shut (1999).
• elective affinities, whether with peers or in relation to "commoners", who may be incorporated into super-elite status (epitomized by so-called supercouples and some marriages of royalty); significant encounters by super-elites (potentially in disguise) with peasants (epitomized by archetypal tales)
• relationships with relatives, especially those with dynastic implications:
  • potentially constrained by relative (emotional) intelligence, neediness, indifference or behavioural challenges (addiction, etc)
  • the need to "prove oneself" in that context
  • the need to demonstrate continuing competence faced with progressive aging and lode of memory
• relationship to external society, especially in deliberately cultivating exceptionalism and discretion, possibly in operating "above the law", and in systematic "rule breaking"

Given the cultivated lack of transparency, any of these can be interpreted as fundamental to perceptions of conspiracy and inherent arrogance. Super-elites may themselves be highly sensitive to manoeuvres of their peers by which they may be disadvantaged. Of interest is then whether any of their relationships are above suspicion and the need for continuing vigilance.

Of some relevance is how super-elites caricature each other with nicknames, whether in private or in gatherings of peers.

Indications from "supernal" or "infernal" realms? It could be fruitfully assumed that a primary source of clues as to the sustaining dynamic of the super-elites could be sought in insights regarding "heaven". It is indeed the case that the lifestyle conditions of the super-elites are readily described as "heavenly" -- thereby evoking aspirations to that condition.

A major difficulty in seeking such clues is that descriptions of the dynamics in heaven and among its inhabitants are essentially elusive and scanty at best. Extensive reference may well be offered in the scriptures regarding choral harmonics and the music of the spheres. This is not especially helpful regarding the lifestyle of the super-elites and the harmony with which it might be assumed to be imbued. Little is said about the significance of interaction between deities and holy figures, for example. It is however the case that some myths offer extensive tales of divine drama which could presumably be considered as somewhat indicative of the dynamics among super-elites. Ironically many are indeed considered to be god-like by their entourage -- and may well be named in those terms.

By contrast, to the extent that super-elites are deemed to be the epitome of evil, clues might be usefully sought from the dynamics of hell. Again however the details regarding the relations between demonic entities are not especially explicit, although described as suitably horrific by the scriptures. Whether the daily life of super-elites is helpfully described as "hellish" does not therefore give any sense of the detailed interactions by which that hell is sustained.

In both cases it is implied that the inhabitants of supernatural realms have aspirations to ever higher ranking associated with their progressive acquisition of greater insight. But again it is perhaps necessarily far from clear what new knowledge is associated with that process, with what questions those of lower ranks have to deal, or how the answers effectively address their relative ignorance.

Questions in sustaining the lifestyle of super-elites

As implied by any vague comparison with the "higher spheres" of supernatural realms (as above), questions that might be asked with regard to super-elites include:
• What do they actually do on a daily basis? How do they spend their time? Methodologies otherwise applied to such questions for ordinary people are variously known as:
  • lifestyle analysis (or psychographics), namely the analysis of a person's day-to-day pattern of living as expressed in that person's activities, interests and opinions (Alexander Szalai. The Use of Time: daily activities of urban and suburban populations in twelve countries, The Economic Journal, 84, 1974, 335; P. Smeets, et al, Time Use and Happiness of
time budget analysis: a method of social inquiry, which requires respondents to keep accurate diaries of their daily activities

- In considering how they employ their time, how do they distinguish between work and recreation? How are both redefined? Does “work” become “play” or “play” become “work”? Are some perceived to be lazy, unemployed -- or unemployable?

- What distinctive forms does their “re-creation” and entertainment take? From what do they “get their jollies”? Anecdotal lifestyle evidence regarding super-elites, occasionally suggests that they require “fresh meat” to spice up their recreation, potentially in the form of youthful innocence or the behaviourally challenged (perhaps epitomized by the role of Peter Sellars in Being There). Now notorious, the facilitation offered to elites by Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell is a reminder of the perverse extremes which the elites may cultivate (whether super or otherwise).

- How do they deal with boredom? Are they affected by the tediousness of daily life? Clues from supernatural realms notably frame suggestive questions regarding activities caricatured as:
  - “harp-playing” in heaven, inviting interpretation as a challenging metaphor in the case of super-elites
  - “fire-stoking” in hell, inviting a contrasting metaphorical interpretation -- ironically evident in pejorative use of "harping”, especially in relation to servants

- Are the relationships between the super-elites to be understood as more sophisticated than those of ordinary people? How might this be recognized and by whom? How is any distinction between angelic and demonic relationships to be made?

- Following from the previous question, are the conversations and dialogues between super-elites to be understood as especially sophisticated and stimulating -- and in what way? Again, how might this be recognized and by whom? Are they fruitfully insightful or especially competitive and manipulative?

Strategic styles and actions of super-elites

Despite the indicative listings of super-elites (noted above), their number and activities are necessarily a source of extreme controversy and speculation (Iqbal Latif, The New ‘Superclass’: Hype -vs- Reality, Global Politician, 2008; Alexander Romanov, How 6,000 people can rule the world).

To the extent that the actions of super-elites are readily perceptible, as a checklist they may include the following:

- public relations promoting particular values, world views, or ideological frameworks through:
  - philanthropy and sponsorship of selected initiatives, most notably in the form of awards
  - cultivating dependency of others through such philanthropy
  - questionable initiatives readily described as “virtue signalling”

- cultivating and sustaining the values by which super-elites define themselves -- and seek to be defined:
  - cultivating the arts and elegance (wines, artworks, fashionable clothing, etc)
  - cultivating the advancement of science or the humanities
  - sponsoring relevant awards
  - cultivating relationships with celebrities

- higher order of indirection
  - longer term perspective in contrast with the daily news cycle and electoral preoccupations of politicians
  - shifting the goal posts to render achievement more challenging to aspirants -- deviousness

- influential intervention
  - deprecated as manipulative influence peddling, especially in the case of the questionable elites deemed to be of malignant intent
  - appreciated in the case of philanthropic "angels", especially when charity is uncritically accepted

- acquisitions and investments
  - ensuring potentially exclusive control by extending a pattern of control
  - acquiring exclusive rural domains, arguably as a means of protecting the natural environment from exploitation by development
  - identification with mega-projects (driven by legacy concerns)

- actions perceived as threats, bullying and dirty tricks, especially with regard to cover-up with respect to initiatives which would be compromised by wider exposure

The need of super-elites for action, or involvement in it, may be subject to the reservation assumed to be associated with all-powerful emperors of Chinese tradition. Such an emperor does not need to "do", but rather to cultivate a context imperceptibly -- a context in which others act appropriately, however that is understood (Comprehension of Appropriateness, 1986).

Strategic concerns of elites for the collective context

Credible, although less obvious, may well be the cultivation by super-elites of shared concerns for the viability of other super-elites over the longer term, most obviously through:
formation of secretive groups ("people like us")
- ensuring and reinforcing patterns of dependency

The strategic preoccupation of the super-elites in relation to global governance most obviously includes promotion of particular values and agendas, epitomized by:

- conservative initiatives, as promoted by Rupert Murdoch and the Koch brothers.
- the escape to outer space, as promoted by Elon Musk
- public health initiatives promoted by Bill Gates
- concern for openness and freedom, epitomized by the Open Society Foundation initiatives of George Soros

Wealth management? Although presented and acclaimed as philanthropy of a high order, such initiatives readily evoke criticism as potentially cynical forms of wealth management in response to the constraints of taxation. As noted by Paul Vallely (How philanthropy benefits the super-rich, The Guardian, 8 September 2020):

Philanthropy, it is popularly supposed, transfers money from the rich to the poor. This is not the case. In the US, which statistics show to be the most philanthropic of nations, barely a fifth of the money donated by big givers goes to the poor... The common assumption that philanthropy automatically results in a redistribution of money is wrong. A lot of elite philanthropy is about elite causes. Rather than making the world a better place, it largely reinforces the world as it is.

Super-rich philanthropists, therefore, find themselves in a position where a large percentage of their gift is funded by the taxpayer. Thus it becomes far less clear whether the money philanthropists give away can rightfully be regarded as entirely their own. If taxpayers contribute part of the gift, why should they not have a say in which charity receives it?

Advanced technology as "magic"? Super-elites have access to the most advanced technologies and analytical capacities. Far less obvious is the capacity of the super-elites to "think the unthinkable" or to enable others to do so (Emmie Martin, The super wealthy have their own exclusive versions of Amazon, Tinder and Instagram, CNBC, 10 May 2017). They fund institutes of advanced studies and think tanks, whose agendas they are able to control.

They clearly have access to the latest advances of artificial intelligence and the insights it may offer in elaborating strategies held to be advantageous -- in contrast to those which are potentially (if not demonstrably) catastrophic. The technologies to which they access may even be perceived as "magic" from conventional perspectives -- in the light of the insight of Arthur C. Clarke: Any sufficiently advanced technology is indistinguishable from magic (Cultural Research and Innovation Lab, 8 November 2018).

Longer-term perspective? It is therefore only possible to speculate on the nature of unpublicised strategies of a highly controversial nature in which super-elites might engage. Predictably these would be evoked by preoccupation with longer-term priorities -- beyond the short-term focus of governments and the institutions whose priorities they control.

What new form might "thinking the unthinkable" now secretly take -- following the continuing inspiration provided by the slogan of Herman Kahn (Thinking About The Unthinkable, 1962; Thinking About the Unthinkable in the 1980s, 1984).

Sophisticated strategies as "evil"? As with Clarke's "magic", would the nature of such strategies be indistinguishable from "evil" -- as suggested by the controversies with which they are believed to be associated, to the extent that their nature is suspected or inferred? Are collective strategies deemed appropriate by some indeed indistinguishable from evil (as perceived by others). Indicative examples include

- slavery, as justified over centuries with the complicity of major religions
- separating children from their parents and allocating them to institutions, as has been a feature of colonial engagement with indigenous peoples, notably inspired by Christianity
- flogging, stoning and amputation, as variously prescribed by Judaism and Islam
- enhanced interrogation, justified by "ticking bomb" scenarios

Of particular relevance is the elaboration of just war theory, implying a strategic articulation of just suffering theory, as discussed separately..

Thinking the unthinkable? As responses to the pandemic have demonstrated, it is questionable whether the fusional promotion of "thinking the unthinkable" (in the face of potential disaster) is capable of circumventing current institutional and media constraints (Nik Gowing and Chris Langdon, Thinking the Unthinkable: a new imperative for leadership in the digital age, 2018).

It is a reasonable assumption that super-elites are necessarily strategically vigilant. Some may therefore be prepared to consider what is otherwise unthinkable with regard to global crisis -- as suggested by "just suffering theory". How might they consider the conclusions of root cause analysis with respect to processes driving the current crisis? Is it possible that drivers such as environmental degradation, climate change, unemployment, resource shortages, violence, and civilizational diseases, have a common root cause -- as yet to be appropriately recognized?

Unnameable root cause? More to the point, is the root cause one that cannot be named in public discourse -- because of the controversy and denial it arouses? A fruitful comparison might be made with the assumption by most authorities in 1912 that RMS Titanic was unsinkable -- or with references to the "elephant in the living room" in the case of climate change (Climate Change and the Elephant in the Living Room, 2008). Most obviously, it is the super-rich who are only too readily identified as being that root cause -- given their degree of control of resources and the extensive documentation of inequality (as noted above).

There is therefore a case for exercises in exploring decision-making on climate change and resource issues in the face of the politically unnameable (Lipoproblems: Developing a Strategy Omitting a Key Problem, 2009).
With respect to ensuring a "safe operating space for humanity", there is however remarkably little "insight uptake capacity", as evidenced by response to the warnings variously articulated over past decades (Club of Rome Reports and Bifurcations: a 50-year overview, 2018; Recognizing the Psychosocial Boundaries of Remedial Action, 2009; Exhortation to We the Peoples from the Club of Rome, 2018; Michael S. Wogalter, Handbook of Warnings, 2006; Keith Suter, Fair warning? The Club of Rome revisited, Resilience, 30 December 1999).

Free of such constraints and blindspots, the hyper-wealthy might be expected to be actively exploring constraints on resources in the face of evidence of unconstrained population growth and its systemic denial (Local Reality of Overcrowding -- Global Unreality of Overpopulation, 2019). In a condition in which the world is permeated by misinformation, it is to be expected that any consideration of such matters would be immediately entangled and enwrapped in controversy theories regarding the self-serving, evil intentions of the hyper-wealthy:

- Shawn Paul Melville: The Club of Rome and The CIA Depopulation Program at Fauci’s Fort Detrick (Civilian Intelligence Network 3.0, 30 October 2021)
- Victor Ayeni: Bill Gates, Depopulation and the Vaccine Hysteria (Medium, 11 May 2020)
- Gates vaccine depopulation conspiracy theory continues to circulate (Public Health Communications, 15 May 2022)

**Reframing disagreement?** Would the hyper-wealthy have methodologies to address their own contrasting strategic views on global crisis -- or even the motivation to develop them? To what degree might they consider that disagreement is especially fruitful, reinforcing conspiracy theories regarding the deliberate cultivation of chaos? Is such disagreement unavoidable, as argued by Nicholas Rescher:

For centuries, most philosophers who have reflected on the matter have been intimidated by the strife of systems. But the time has come to put this behind us -- not the strife, that is, which is ineliminable, but the felt need to somehow end it rather than simply accept it and take it in stride. To reemphasize the salient point: it would be bizarre to think that philosophy is not of value because philosophical positions are bound to reflect the particular values we hold. (The Strife of Systems: an essay on the grounds and implications of philosophical diversity, 1985)

It could then be asked whether any remedial strategy at this time could be other than painful -- and therefore unthinkable. Failure to act is however unlikely to be a solution, as the degrading global condition makes only too evident. The consequence of such failure is to abandon civilization to "systemic remedial processes" whose symptoms are climate change, resource constraints, and uncontrolled violence.

**Painful remedial action?** It is then appropriate to assume that the hyper-wealthy variously endeavour to "ride the tiger" in the unthinkable ways in which they are already suspected of being complicit. Should the various processes of population reduction be seen in this light, including wars, climate change and pandemics?

Painless alternatives are clearly controversial and consequently non-viable with conventional insight, and with little effort to address the strategic dilemmas implied. That some should take it upon themselves to enable painful remedial measures can indeed be seen as highly regrettable. However from a systemic perspective, and as seen by future generations, they may well be deemed necessary and comprehensible (Gruesome but Necessary: local governance in the 21st Century? 2021). Will "business as usual" be understood as an indicator of systemic negligence?.

There is of course no lack of conventional strategic responses to problematic issues -- even of the most detailed nature, as documented in the online Encyclopedia of World Problems and Human Potential. Such issues can be compared with the non-comprehension of "q-holes", so ably described by Ronald Atkin with respect to the communication patterns within his own university (Combinatorial Connectivities in Social Systems: an application of simplicial complex structures to the study of large organizations, 1977). Q-analysis clarifies how many q-holes are engendered so that subordinate bodies are trapped in the flow of noise around and between them (Comprehension and Organization, 1983). The fundamental challenge is then reminiscent of the seminal articulation of Nicholas of Cusa (De Docta Ignorantia, 1440).

**Systemic analogues of super-elites -- recognized otherwise**

Recognized otherwise, analogues of super-elites (if not hyper-elites) can be recognized in other domains. For example, religions traditionally refer to "holiness". One preferred term is "excellence", widely promoted in Europe through networks of "centres of excellence".

- political elites, however they may be influenced by the super-elites, offer an obvious manifestation of the strategic initiatives of the latter, as through the:
  - Bilderberg Group:
    - Susan C. Omstead: Secretive Bilderberg Meetings of 'Spies, War Hawks and World Leaders’ Escape Media Scrutiny (The Defender, 13 June 2022)
    - Josh Sanburn: What to Know About the Bilderberg Group’s Secret Annual Meeting (Time, 9 June 2016)
  - Club of Rome:
    - Reports and Bifurcations: a 50-year overview (2018)
  - World Economic Forum
Some indication of this process is offered by the argument of Edward Hess and Kazimierz Gozdz with regard to learning and unlearning, whereby they continually reframe the meaning of wealth -- at least for themselves -- if only as a fundamental dimension by which they avoid recognition of the complexity associated with other potential uses of the "hyper-" prefix as argued separately (through Hypercomprehension and Hyperdrive) and therefore simply as a further extension of the understanding associated with "super-" (somewhat ironically through "hype"). This reframing can be taken further through meta-analogy (through Hyperaction) and hypersociety. Such reframing has been seen as a remedy to super-elitism in sport -- deemed dysfunctional (Jon Brown, Hyper-Elitism in Writing Literary Criticisms: Theories and References, 2002). There it is seen as a necessary complement to proliferation of hypermedia in hypersociety. Such reframing can be taken further through meta-analogy (Imagining Order as Hypercomputing, 2014).

**Super versus Hyper:** Use of the prefix "super-" misleadingly implies a linear progression in wealth; one that is all too readily quantifiable. The behaviour (and especially the thinking,) of those distinguished by use of "super-" might be better understood through increasing reference to "hyper-". As noted above, this offers implications of more complex modes of behaviour which are not a simple extension of normal behaviour.

Use of "hyper-" is an appropriate challenge to conventional understandings of wealth, power and influence. Presumably those super-elites able to reframe the meaning of wealth and power merit recognition as hyper-elites -- although potentially evoking a different kind of opprobrium, in being presumed to be sinister to an even higher degree.

Curiously one of the few references to hyper-elitism in another context has evoked extensive commentary (Syed Mahmudur Rahman, Hyper-Elitism in Writing Literary Criticisms: Theories and References, Advances in Language and Literary Studies, 9, 2018, 6). More curiously by contrast, hyper-elitism has been seen as a remedy to super-elitism in sport -- deemed dysfunctional (Jon Brown, Protecting the competition environment through hyper-elitism, Fast Running, 24 September 2018).

Unfortunately for the development of this argument, commercial use is already made of "hyper-wealth" in reference to extreme wealth -- and therefore simply as a further extension of the understanding associated with "super-" (somewhat ironically through "hype"). This avoids recognition of the complexity associated with other potential uses of the "hyper-" prefix as argued separately (Hyperaction through Hypercomprehension and Hyperdrive, 2006). There it is seen as a necessary complement to proliferation of hypermedia in hypersociety. Such reframing can be taken further through meta-analogy (Imagining Order as Hypercomputing, 2014).

**Hyper-wealth and hyper-learning:** It might then be argued that fundamental to the thinking of the hyper-wealthy is the process whereby they continually reframe the meaning of wealth -- at least for themselves -- if only as a fundamental dimension by which they are defined and distinguished from others.

Some indication of this process is offered by the argument of Edward Hess and Kazimierz Gozdz with regard to learning and unlearning (Becoming a Hyper-learning Community: the future of business, UVA Darden, 25 January 2018):

What type of environment enables the highest levels of human performance? What type of environment will enable the highest levels of psychological flow and collective intelligence? What type of environment will enable the highest levels of continuous hyper-learning -- unlearning and relearning? ... A new form of business organization, a Hyper-Learning Community, is needed for keeping pace with the transformations required by the Digital Age -- a community that is more humanistic, people-centric, and
Conventional thinking with regard to power and wealth does not embody the dynamics of the challenging reframing in which the hyper-wealthy and the hyper-powerful are obliged to engage -- or be placed at a disadvantage by the dynamics of the times and the actions of their peers. The essence of wealth may lie in the dynamics by which it is framed.

**Feeling "good in one's skin"?** Given the fundamental relationship of wealth to collective confidence, if only in financial terms, it is especially intriguing to consider how the wealthy may be essentially "impoverished", whether in their own eyes and in the eyes of others. The hyper-wealthy may be obliged to reframe a degree of conflation between the wealth, health and stealth with which they are familiar, as speculatively explored elsewhere (El-Attractor -- Timeless Complex Dynamic: Health, Wealth, Stealth / Youth, Couth, Truth, 2007). This argument can be taken further with respect to the cognitive dynamics of the sustaining meta-pattern (Wothal as Sustaining Dynamic of Health and Wealth, 2013).

Such arguments contribute to inquiry into who is exceptionally wealthy and powerful and what forms this may take. It may indeed be a matter of choice whether one defines oneself to be "rich" (given the resources at one's disposal) or "powerful" (given one's relationship to one's environment). Are both a form of illusion which can be creatively cultivated -- as with any sense of being "Master of the Universe" (Being the Universe: a metaphoric frontier, 1999; Being What You Want: problematic kataphatic identity vs. potential of apophatic identity? 2008).

**Inner wealth versus Inner poverty:** As has been made abundantly clear by the current financial crisis, wealth (as a tangible) and enriching oneself (as a dynamic) are intimately dependent on the intangibles of confidence and trust. Confidence, as understood dynamically within any community, is also suggestive of a quality of wholth, as stressed above.

This recalls the long (religious) tradition supporting the argument for simple living, with its emphasis on discovering inner resources and wealth (Duane Elgin, Voluntary Simplicity, 2010). It has been characterized as a process through which individuals become satisfied with the tangibles they need rather than those to which they might misleadingly aspire. This could be framed in terms of the sense of essential wealthiness experienced through intangibles rather than a dependence on tangibles -- as encouraged by socioeconomic convention. Again, to be viable, this implies a necessarily mysterious relationship to a sustaining sense of wholth enabling other forms of sustainable growth.

As argued by Peter Koenig, there is no correlation between external hyper-wealth (as quantitatively measured) and any sense of internal wealth (30 Lies About Money: liberating your life, liberating your money, 2003). It is the illusory nature of this assumed correlation which justifies the cognitive challenge associated with the framing by "hyper". Significantly, whilst much research is done on the economic implications of poverty and deprivation, little attention is given to the psycho-social implications of surfeit. This suggests the value of longer-term investigation and case studies. A valuable contrast is provided by the Kingdom of Bhutan, according to principles of Gross National Happiness (S M Mamunur Rahman, Bhutan’s Secret to Happiness, Medium, 29 August 2020).

Understandings of inner wealth, as typically promoted from a spiritual perspective, usefully frame questions regarding the engagement with those considered to be impoverished -- or experiencing themselves as such. To what extent is this indicative of the existential paradox by which the hyper-wealthy are confronted?

The issues are highlighted by the process of begging, as experienced by the hyper-wealthy (Confusion in Exchanging "Something" for "Nothing": cognitive implication in the asymmetrical processes of begging and its surrogates, 2015). With an implication of "hyper-impoverishment", especially of a non-material nature, there is the corresponding question of how most fruitfully to engage with the elusive insights of the hyper-wealthy (Engaging with Insight of a Higher Order: reconciling complexity and simplexity through memorable metaphor, 2014).

Wealthy or not, in whatever manner and to whatever degree, the paradox is all the greater in the light of the personal experience of inner poverty (Anne L. Wissler, Inner Poverty: the realm of the Hungry Ghost, The Psychotherapy Patient, 7, 1991, 1-2; Sri Chinmoy, The Inner Poverty, 1970). For Henry Makow, writing of the US:

> Its symptoms are sense of emptiness, meaninglessness and lack of direction. It’s like our soul has gone AWOL Inner poverty is a spiritual vacuum at the core of our being... There is no way of gauging how serious this epidemic is, but according to some estimates, more than 90% of the population lives below the inner poverty line. (The Inner Poverty, WarIsCrime, 17 May 2014).

For Tim Malnick:

> We must acknowledge inner poverty as a universal human experience. An absolutely fundamental first step is to recognise and fully encounter one’s own sense of inner poverty. Most of us, regardless of whether we have material wealth or not, have an experience of some kind of inner lack, need or poverty. (The Inner Dimensions of Wealth and Poverty, Money and Life, 11 February 2018)

**Guisé and disguise:** To what extent are wealth and power a pretence -- as with health? Considerable effort is invested in such pretence by those dependent on such appreciation, whether for themselves or to ensure their position in their community. On the other hand, appreciating their own wealth and power, some have no need to act in this way -- even when defined by convention as impoverished, powerless or unhealthy (whether physically, emotionally, morally, or spiritually).

There is then a play between guise and disguise in a context rife with lack of transparency and a plethora of misinformation -- "assuming
a virtue if one has it not" (Lloyd Davis, *Guise and Disguise: Rhetoric and Characterization in the English Renaissance*, 1993). This invites recognition of archetypal extremes.

**Hyper-power characteristics of hyper-elite initiatives?**

Emphasis has long been placed on the role of super-powers on a global scale. The term is not used in relation to the super-elites, although it is readily understood that they have "super-powers" in a financial sense and through their influence on decision-making. It is the popular media which offers fictional content regarding individuals having "super-powers" -- associated with their "inner wealth" and potentially then to be recognized as superhumans.

In contrast to the superpowers of the world, reference has been made to the United States as a hyper-power. This is held to be a state that dominates all other states in every domain, understood as full-spectrum dominance; it has no rivals that can match its capabilities, and is considered to be a step higher than a superpower. Although the USA has exhibited the traits of a hyperpower, its global influence has begun to decline relative to other potential superpowers.

More intriguing from this perspective, and from that of some conspiracy theories, is the sense in which a group of the hyper-wealthy could be understood as constituting such a hyper-power through which global control is ensured in some manner. As noted above, this group might be understood as numbering 6,000, perhaps led by an even smaller group.

It remains far from clear how the dynamics of such a group might operate to ensure its viability and sustainability over time. These naturally evoke speculation regarding occult rituals, and the like, of which those envisaged by the Nazi regime are indicative of the most problematic extreme (Joris Nieuwint, *The Nazi Temple of Doom: Weiwulfsburg Castle*, War History Online, 25 April 2018). Many temples have been designed to house dynamics at the other extreme, necessarily with minimal clarity regarding their operation.

With the deep investment of some of the hyper-wealthy in the corporations active in disparate domains, conspiracy theories are naturally evoked by the manner in which some take the form of oligopolies -- perhaps to be usefully recognized operationally as hyper-powers. An oligopoly is a market structure in which a market or industry is dominated by a small number of large corporations (possibly to be understood as controlled by oligarchs). The hyper-wealthy may well protect themselves by investing in competing corporations in an oligopolistic market -- a process termed "common ownership" (*Common Ownership by Institutional Investors and its Impact on Competition*, OECD, 29 November 2017).

Oligopolies typically result from the desire to maximize profits, leading to collusion between corporations -- evoking perception of them as cartels. In reducing competition, the collusion leads to higher prices for consumers and lower wages for employees. Many industries have been cited as oligopolistic, including oil, news media, civil aviation, electricity providers, telecommunications, transport, food processing, pharmaceuticals, smartphones, sugar refining, music industry, computer operating systems, cellular networks, beer making, pulp and paper making, and automobile manufacturing (Adam Hayes, *Oligopolies: Some Current Examples*, Investoedia, 28 March 2022; *9 Best Examples of Oligopoly in 2022*, RankRed, 2 January 2022; John Spacey, *32 Examples of an Oligopoly*, Simplicable, 19 May 2020). The association of organized crime with drug cartels is especially deprecated.

Conspiracy theories focus on the existence of those to whom oligarchs are beholden.

**Mystification of elitism as offering global coherence?**

**Hyperobjects and hyperreality?** There is an apparent lack of methodologies and "language" for exploring exotic communities (as may be assumed are formed by the hyper-wealthy) -- or the lack of motivation to develop them. The difficulties are evident with respect to two extremes, although others might be imagined:

- **communities of the "good":** examples of such communities are well-known in the form of communes, monasteries and ashrams. These tend to lack characteristics consequent on lack of resource constraints and the capacity to incorporate advanced technology potentially unknown to the outer world. They do however offer examples of behavioural patterns which may well be a challenge to the norms of conventional society.

- **communities of the "bad":** examples of such communities are less evident, although readily suspected in the form of organized crime, satanic groups and a focus of fictional presentations. Secret societies are frequently held to be of evil intent, especially when their membership is believed to include the rich and the powerful with the capacity to exploit very advanced technology to the disadvantage of the wider population.

Participants in both groups may well cultivate forms of mystification in their quest for coherence -- mystification which is a challenge to conventional understanding and exacerbated by a deliberate lack of transparency. As argued above, "wealth" may in both cases be understood otherwise, in a manner unrelated to monetary conventions. As such, both evoke suspicions and assertions as to their problematic or malignant nature. To whatever extent cults are held to be associated with the "good" or the "bad", especially by their participants, these invite explanations in terms of self-delusion, miseducation and gullibility.

In the quest for an appropriate methodology, especially attentive to potential inadequacies in the eyes of the beholder, recent articulations of hyperobjects may well offer fruitful insights (Timothy Morton, *Hyperobjects: philosophy and ecology after the End of the World*, University of Minnesota Press, 2013; *Hyperobjects: an excerpt*, Academia.edu, *Introducing the Idea of 'Hyperobjects': a new way of understanding climate change and other phenomena*, High Country News, 19 January 2015). The challenging nature of such subtlety is suggested by earlier use of "hyperobject" by computer science to denote n-dimensional non-local entities.

Is the realm of the hyper-wealthy then to be understood as such an "object" -- even as an "n-dimensional non-local entity"? For Morton, a hyperobject is held to be of such vast temporal and spatial dimensions in relation to human life that it defeats traditional ideas about what
Global comprehension may have been misleadingly framed as a mistaken quest for closure (Engaging with Elusive Connectivity and Coherence, 2018). More challenging from that perspective, in the case of the hyper-wealthy, is the experiential sense in which they might frame themselves as "hypo-subjects" (Timothy Morton and Dominic Boyer, Hypoobjects: On Becoming Human, 2021).

Comprehension might also be explored in terms of the nature of the quest itself, too readily framed in terms of monetary wealth for those "on the way up". Far less evident is the nature of the quest for the hyper-wealthy in "being there". For participants in the communities cited above, for whom wealth may also be understood otherwise, the quest is typically exemplified by one or more initiations through which the goal of that quest is progressively understood and achieved (Varieties of Rebirth: distinguishing ways of being "born again", 2014; A. P. Elkin, Aboriginal Men of High Degree, 1993).

Illuminati as archetypal elites? As conventionally understood, the super-rich are only too readily conflated with understandings of the mythical Illuminati and their membership (Angela Farrer, Who Are the Members of the Illuminati? PublicPeople, 29 July 2022). Any reference to such a group exemplifies the challenges of comprehension and communication about the hyper-wealthy. The Wikipedia profile of the "Illuminati" is however a model of balance in endeavouring to navigate the associated mystification. The name has been given to secretive groups, both real and fictitious (and variously associated with the Rosicrucians and Freemasons), allegedly a continuation of the original Bavarian Illuminati. Such links are considered to be un substantiated, whatever their credibility in the eyes of some.

The "Illuminati" have often been accused of conspiring to control world affairs, by masterminding events and planting agents in government and corporations, in order to gain political power and influence and to establish a New World Order. As noted by Wikipedia:

Central to some of the more widely known and elaborate conspiracy theories, the Illuminati are depicted as lurking in the shadows and pulling the strings and levers of power. This view of the Illuminati has found its way into popular culture, appearing in dozens of novels, films, television shows, comics, video games and music videos.

Several recent and present-day fraternal organisations claim to be descended from the original Bavarian Illuminati and openly use the name "Illuminati". Some of these groups use a variation of "The Illuminati Order" in the name of their own organizations, while others, such as the Ordo Templi Orientis, have "Illuminati" as a grade within their organization's hierarchy. For example, on what is claimed to be an official website of the Illuminati, the Illuminati Elites are described as:

- an elite organisation of world leaders, business authorities, innovators, artists, and other influential members of this planet. Our coalition unites influential of all political, religious, and geographical backgrounds to further the prosperity of the human species as a whole.

Conspiracies engendering a New World Order: The mystification of the hyper-wealthy has resulted in the identification of groups, other than the "Illuminati", variously associated with conspiracies enabling emergence of a new world order. Many influential historical and contemporary figures have therefore been alleged to be part of a cabal that operates through front organizations to orchestrate significant political and financial events. These range from causing systemic crises to pushing through controversial policies, at both national and international levels, as steps in an ongoing plot to achieve world domination.

As indicated in an extensive review by Wikipedia, systemic conspiracy theories are associated with groups and memes such as:

- End times nexus
- Freemasonry nexus
  - Judeo-Masonic conspiracy
- Round Table nexus
  - Society of the Elect
  - Council on Foreign Relations
  - Trilateral Commission
- New Age nexus
  - Masters of the Ancient Wisdom
  - Great White Brotherhood
- Great Reset nexus

Criticism of conspiracy theories may well comment usefully on the psycho-social benefits for their cultivation in the light of the coherence they offer to those subscribing to them in a social system only too evidently characterized by chaos, fragmentation and mutual blame. Ambiguity regarding the intentions of elusive players -- enabling or exploiting humanity -- is indicative of the very nature of the "hyper-conspiracies" in which the hyper-wealthy are embedded -- as potentially to be recognized as hyposubjects in their own right (Inspiration, Conspiration, Transpiration, Expiration: towards a universal model of conspiracy theories, 2020).

Extraterrestrials as exemplars of the hyper-wealthy?

Any hypothesis regarding the existence of extraterrestrials, engagement with them, or their role in ordering human affairs, offers a framework through which to explore the lifestyle and behaviours of the super-wealthy -- if only speculatively, as long demonstrated by science fiction. Any mystification of the hyper-wealthy is evident in that relating to extraterrestrials. Ironically, given their cultivated dissociation from the world of ordinary people, the hyper-wealthy could even be considered terrestrial "extras" in the drama of human
Conspiracies regarding extraterrestrials, and the possibility of alien invasion, have long been a feature of conspiracy theories -- evoking imaginative accounts of their evil nature (Hypothetical challenge of ETs as intrinsically evil? 2022). Such accounts are necessarily remarkably similar to speculation regarding the hyper-wealthy. Some accounts of alien invasion readily assert that the hyper-wealthy may well be aliens, or possessed by them in some manner. Their seeming indifference to contact with human society could well be understood as corresponding to the apparent indifference of the wealthy to the conditions of the impoverished.

The extraterrestrial meme is however especially useful in evoking speculation regarding the behaviour and preoccupations of the hyper-wealthy -- unchallenged by the constraints experienced by ordinary people. Extragalactic beings could indeed be appropriately recognized as "hyper-wealthy", notably given the possibility that they may have defined wealth in a manner completely distinct from humanity. As with the claims of human spiritual elites, their understanding of wealth may have a totally non-material focus. The fundamental importance of "respect" in gang cultures helps to clarify this contrast, despite any constraints on resources.

In seeking behavioural and lifestyle insights from the ET meme, it could be imagined that "extraterrestrials" might rather see themselves as "hyper-terrestrials", especially in their engagement with human society. If they are assumed to have already "arrived", they may well remain unrecognized because of the form they take (Sensing Epiterrestrial Intelligence (SETI): embedding of "extraterrestrials" in episystemic dynamics? 2013).

Are the hyper-wealthy then to be understood as "embedded" in a manner evoking conspiracy theories -- however misleading? The framework of quantum physics offers the further possibility of "entanglement" (Alexander Wendt (Quantum Mind and Social Science: unifying physical and social ontology, 2015).

Tragic incompetence of the hyper-wealthy: "hyper-incompetence"?

An obvious question to be asked with regard to the hyper-wealthy, especially those unconstrained in many conventional ways, is how their insights have enabled global society to degrade to its current condition?

Essentially ineffectual? One response is the possibility that their insights are "not fit for purpose", namely that they have not been able to formulate a strategy adequate to the complexity of the global system -- despite the resources they control and are able to elicit (L. McGoe, Why Billionaires Like Bill Gates Can’t Fix the Problems They Help Created, The New York Times, 25 May 2021). This would be in marked contrast to the wisdom attributed to them by some -- as implied by some conspiracy theories regarding guidance by the enlightened. Indications in that regard are offered by documented dissensions amongst the Illuminati leading to the decline of its original form.

Also questionable is the obvious failure of discourse between proponents of contrasting strategic remedies -- strategies it might be assumed are inspired in some measure by the hyper-wealthy. To the extent that some are understood to have inspired and sustained key think tanks, it is remarkable the degree of unfruitful dissension between them (Tank Warfare Challenges for Global Governance, 2019). The latter extends the "think tank" metaphor to include other cognitive modalities.

Understood as dysfunctional, it might be asked why the hyper-wealthy have not initiated remedial measures through such resources -- as would seem to be the case. Is the Great Reset, promoted by the World Economic Forum, to be considered in that light?

Indifference? A second response is the possibility that the hyper-wealthy are in various ways relatively (if not totally) indifferent to the degrading condition of global civilization.

This could be considered comparable to the tale of Nero "fiddling while Rome burns" -- a meme of current relevance, as explored separately (Spike-endowed Global Civilization as COVID-19: humanity "bristles" as the world "burns", 2020). Are the hyper-wealthy to be deprecated as modern emulations of Nero faced with the decline of the Roman Empire?

Pseudo-relevance? A third response is the possibility that the degradation of global society is understood quite otherwise, consistent with the argument above regarding the insights into hyper-reality which the hyper-wealthy may assiduously cultivate. This would then be irrespective of the indifference and deprecation of academia -- and the plethora of professors claiming relevant insight. Some would argue that what is deprecated as pseudo-science by the conventions of science is indicative of such thinking -- and indicative of a degree of pseudo-relevance of conventional science (Challenges of Science Upheld as an Exclusive Mode of Inquiry: pseudorelevance of science to global crises? 2021). Are the hyper-wealthy to be understood as more relevant to the future of humanity?

So framed and paradoxically, does the future development of global conditions in fact benefit systemically from greed, selfishness, ignorance, and the like -- the deadly sins -- in a manner beyond comprehension within conventional frameworks? Does the competence of the hyper-wealthy lie paradoxically in a "hands-off" posture as a key to hyper-learning and the initiation of hyper-strategies? Is some creative form of "strategic neglect" recognized as maximizing the collective learning experience by which the survival of humanity will be ensured?

Complicity? Appreciation of the current tragedy is rendered more complex by a fourth response, namely the unquestionable degree of complicity of all in the global situation. In identifying the hyper-wealthy as distinct from ordinary mortals, it is readily forgotten that it is the economic activity of many which sustains the few -- the hyper-wealthy.

Whilst deprecating the super-rich, few avoid investing in the products and services which sustain them. It is readily argued that -- being unelected through democratic process -- their disproportionate influence on decision-making and the lives of many is an affront to democratic principles. Yet it can also be argued that daily use by many of what they provide effectively constitutes a form of popular vote in its own right. The most striking examples are the Microsoft operating system, the facilities of Amazon and PayPal, or the connectivity offered by Facebook -- respectively fundamental to the fortunes of Bill Gates, Jeff Bezos, Elon Musk, and Mark
Individual complicity in engendering and sustaining global crisis merits recognition as a feature of the subtle dynamics of the surreal realm of hyper-reality (Surreal nature of current global governance as experienced, 2016). With the implication that all are hyper-wealthy to the extent that they choose to be so, arguably there is a strange form of "hyper-incompetence" to be recognized in the mirror offered by those framed as blame-worthy elites (Richard Heinberg, The Failure of Global Elites, Resilience, 12 April 2022).
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